The National Coalition on Health Care Specifications for Reform: Impacts on Health Care Spending and Federal Costs

Kenneth E. Thorpe, Ph.D. Robert W. Woodruff Professor and Chair Department of Health Policy and Management Rollins School of Public Health Emory University

Presented at National Press Club, Washington DC, May 23, 2005

Overview



NCHC Specifications

- All Americans covered within 2 to 3 years after enactment of legislation
 - Range of options outlined including population based and employer based coverage
 - Subsidies income related
- Cost Management
 - Objective: Covered benefits rise at GDP per capita (about 4%) within 5 years of enactment



Overview

- Cost Management (continued)
 Cost Savings Occur Through
 - Reduction in administrative costs particularly on transactions
 - Acceleration of CPOE diffusion
 - Accelerated use of automated patient safety, error reporting system
 - Reduction in variations in clinical treatment
 - Controls on provider payments and premiums to assure target rate of growth.



Overview

- Improve Quality and Safety
 - National practice guidelines
 - National information technology infrastructure
 - Develop information about the effectiveness
 and cost-effectiveness of care
- Financing
 - Covering the uninsured will eventually reduce the cost shifts to private sector
 - Payment equity among public (Medicaid) and private payers. Medicaid payments to be adjusted beginning in 2010.



Big Picture Implications of NCHC Specifications

- Cost of inaction is high. Absent reform, over 54 million uninsured by 2015, NHE totals \$3.8 Trillion – 19% of GDP compared to 15.6% today.
- Key performance measures continue to deteriorate
 - Safety-net
 - Medicaid impacts state budgets
 - Continued high and rising health care costs
 - Rising uninsured and uncompensated care
 - High number of preventable medical errors
 - Major gaps in clinically appropriate treatment
 of chronic disease



NCHC Specifications Several Approaches Consistent NCH Specifications

Scenarios

- Employer mandate supplemented by individual mandate
- Expand existing public programs to expand coverage
- Develop new program modeled after the FEHB
- Universal publicly financed
 program



Common Assumptions

- Estimates are consistent with broad range of approaches
- Employers, households, governments all participate in financing
 - Employers pay 75% premium or (payroll tax)
 - Workers above 150% poverty pay pro-rated share and full 25% share by 300% poverty
 - Adults under 150% receive full subsidies
- Core benefits based on Blue Cross Blue Shield Standard Option in FEHB. Employers offering less generous coverage must "upgrade"



Change in National Health Expenditures Under NCHC Specifications (Billions \$)

| | <u>2006</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2009</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2011</u> | <u>2012</u> | <u>2013</u> | <u>2014</u> | <u>2015</u> |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| <u>CURRENT</u> <u>NHE</u> | 2,077.5 | 2,232.9 | 2,399.2 | 2,573.3 | 2,753.9 | 2,944.2 | 3,146.3 | 3,360.7 | 3,585.7 | 3,839.9 |
| <u>SCENARIO</u> <u>1</u> | 2,087.9 | 2,260.6 | 2,435.1 | 2,588.5 | 2,705.2 | 2,918.1 | 3,099.1 | 3,291.0 | 3,493.3 | 3,714.3 |
| <u>SCENARIO</u> 2 | 2,087.9 | 2,260.6 | 2,435.1 | 2,588.5 | 2,705.2 | 2,918.1 | 3,099.1 | 3,291.0 | 3,493.3 | 3,714.3 |
| <u>SCENARIO</u> <u>3</u> | 2,085 | 2,256 | 2,430 | 2,583 | 2,700 | 2,914 | 3,094 | 3,286 | 3,487 | 3,709 |
| <u>SCENARIO</u> <u>4</u> | 2,052.5 | 2,175.9 | 2,309.2 | 2,473.3 | 2,642.9 | 2,821.2 | 3,010.3 | 3,211.7 | 3,422.7 | 3,657.9 |



Change in Spending Under NCHC Specifications (Billions \$)

| | <u>2006</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2009</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2011</u> | <u>2012</u> | <u>2013</u> | 2014 | <u>2015</u> | <u>TOTAL</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------------|--------------|
| <u>SCENARIO</u> <u>1</u> | 10.4 | 27.7 | 35.9 | 15.2 | -48.7 | -26.1 | -47.2 | -69.7 | -92.4 | -125.6 | -320.5 |
| <u>SCENARIO</u> 2 | 10.4 | 27.7 | 35.9 | 15.2 | -48.7 | -26.1 | -47.2 | -69.7 | -92.4 | -125.6 | -320.5 |
| <u>SCENARIO</u> <u>3</u> | 7.5 | 23.10 | 30.8 | 9.7 | -53.9 | -30.2 | -52.3 | -74.4 | -98.7 | -130.9 | -369.6 |
| <u>SCENARIO</u> <u>4</u> | -25 | -57 | -90 | -100 | -111 | -123 | -136.0 | -149 | -163 | -182 | -1136 |



Change in Spending for Employers Currently Offering Insurance Under NCHC Specifications (Billions \$)

| | <u>2006</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2009</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2011</u> | <u>2012</u> | <u>2013</u> | <u>2014</u> | 2015 |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| SCENARIO 1 | 0.1 | -11.3 | -30.6 | -49.8 | -69.3 | -90.2 | -110 | -131.2 | -160.7 | -195 |
| SCENARIO 2 | 0.1 | -11.3 | -30.6 | -49.8 | -69.3 | -90.2 | -110 | -131.2 | -160.7 | -195 |
| SCENARIO 3 | 0.1 | -11.3 | -30.6 | -49.8 | -69.3 | -90.2 | -110 | -131.2 | -160.7 | -195 |
| SCENARIO 4 | -11.5 | -19.2 | -28.7 | -39.1 | -49.7 | -62.2 | -74.9 | -88.3 | -101 | -121.2 |



Change in Spending for Workers Insured Today Under NCHC Specifications (Billions \$)

| | <u>2006</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2009</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2011</u> | <u>2012</u> | 2 <u>2013</u> | <u>2014</u> | <u>2015</u> |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| SCENARIO 1 | 0.2 | -3.8 | -11 | -18.2 | -25.4 | -33.2 | -40.6 | -48.4 | -59.4 | -69.5 |
| SCENARIO 2 | 0.2 | -3.8 | -11 | -18.2 | -25.4 | -33.2 | -40.6 | -48.4 | -59.4 | -69.5 |
| SCENARIO 3 | 0.2 | -3.8 | -11 | -18.2 | -25.4 | -33.2 | -40.6 | -48.4 | -59.4 | -69.5 |
| SCENARIO 4 | 38 | -6.4 | -9.6 | -13 | -16.6 | -20.7 | -24.9 | -29.4 | -33.7 | -40.4 |



"Net" Federal Spending Under NCHC Specification in Billions 2006-2015

| | SCENARIO 1 | SCENARIO 2 | SCENARIO 3 | SCENARIO 4 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| New Spending | \$1,013 | \$987 | \$1,013 | \$8,161 |
| Sources of Finar | ncing | | | |
| Disproportionate Share (Lower Uncompensated Care) Savings | (\$157) | (\$157) | (\$157) | (\$157) |
| Higher "Indirect" Tax Receipts Linked to Employer Savings | (\$90) | (\$90) | (\$90) | (\$100) |
| Payroll Tax Receipts | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$7883 |
| TOTALS | \$766 | \$740 | \$766 | \$21 |



Conclusions

- NCHC Specifications Among Most Comprehensive Plans – Particularly With Respect to Cost Management
- Covering the Uninsured Provides Substantial Financial Savings To State and Local Governments and Those Currently Insured
- Would result in higher "value" health care system

